. RC3-6007010-11736



November 8, 2010

NOV 1 5 2016

Water Docket Environmental Protection Agency Mailcode: 28221T 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20460

Department of Conservation and Recreation Commonwealth of Virginia 203 Governor Street Richmond, VA 23219

Re: EPA Water Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736, Draft Total Maximum Daily Load ("TMDL") for the Chesapeake Bay; and Virginia Chesapeake Bay Watershed Implementation Plan ("WIP")

To Whom It May Concern:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on EPA's Draft TMDL for the Chesapeake Bay and Virginia's WIP.

We own and operate a municipal wastewater treatment plant ("WWTP") that cleans and discharges highly-treated wastewater within the Chesapeake Bay watershed pursuant to two state-issued Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("VPDES") permits.

We have significant concerns with EPA's Draft TMDL and object to EPA's threatened "backstop" actions against WWTPs. EPA currently proposes to cut Virginia's stringent nutrient waste load allocations ("WLAs") currently set forth in Virginia's EPA-approved Water Quality Management Planning Regulation, 9VAC25-720, and Chesapeake Bay Watershed General Permit Regulation, 9VAC25-820 (collectively, the "Virginia Regulations"). EPA also threatens to cut WWTP allocations further to so-called "full backstop" levels, which would decrease the concentration basis further (3 mg/L TN and 0.1 mg/L TP at design flow) and possibly even the flow basis to past flow levels (2007 to 2009 average flow rather than design flow). This would reflect an unfair, punitive action by EPA that would do little to advance the Bay cleanup, which necessarily depends on major nonpoint source reductions because the Bay is nonpoint source dominated system with roughly 80 percent of the nutrient load attributable to nonpoint sources.

EPA is considering these potential cuts under a new EPA guidance letter on "reasonable assurance" and EPA's initial view that Virginia has given inadequate assurance that nonpoint sources (e.g., agricultural sources) will reduce their nutrient loads according to plan. We disagree with EPA's initial view given Virginia's good track record of achieving nonpoint reductions. We also question whether EPA's unpromulgated reasonable assurance guidance is

a Utilities, Inc. company Massanutten Public Service Corporation

● Page 2 November 8, 2010

even legal given that it operates as if EPA's previously proposed but withdrawn reasonable assurance regulation had actually been put into effect.

We understand that the Draft TMDL is fundamentally and materially flawed. These deficiencies are thoroughly documented in the comments of the Virginia Association of Municipal Wastewater Agencies, Inc. ("VAMWA"). We request that EPA fully consider and address all of VAMWA's comments, which we generally support and hereby incorporate by reference as if fully set forth herein.

In closing, what is distinctly missing from EPA's Draft TMDL is any appreciation for the major commitments very recently made by EPA and Virginia (the State's adoption and EPA's approval of the Virginia Regulations in 2005 and 2007) and the major financial commitments that have made to implement those requirements including constructing major new facilities (typically built to last 20 to 30 years). As an organization with a demonstrable commitment to clean water, we object to the waste inherent in EPA's threatened override of the Virginia Regulations and Virginia WIP through the Draft TMDL and its elements that relate to our WLAs.

For further information, please contact me at (540) 289-7088.

Sincerely,

Don Smiley Area Manager

cc: Tony Sharp, U.I.

Mr. Alan Pollock, VA DEQ (alan.pollock@deq.virginia.gov)
Mr. Russ Perkinson, VA DCR (russ.perkinson@dcr.virginia.gov)